

LIST OF NEW PROPOSALS UNDER THE SCHEME OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR PREVENTION OF ALCOHOLISM AND SUBSTANCE (DRUGS) ABUSE AND FOR SOCIAL DEFENCE SERVICES CONSIDERED BY THE SCREENING COMMITTEE IN ITS MEETING HELD ON 21ST JULY 2016.

A meeting of the Screening Committee constituted by the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment was held on 21st July, 2016 under the Chairmanship of Smt. Ghazala Meenai, Joint Secretary (Social Defence) to scrutinize and make recommendation on the new proposals of NGOs received through State Governments/Union Territories under the Scheme of Financial Assistance for Prevention of Alcoholism and Substance (Drugs) Abuse and for Social Defence Services. The members of the Committee Sh. S Prakash, Sh. Vivek Kabra and Shri Sandeep Gupta, Deputy Secretary (IFD) in the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment were present in the meeting. Shri Khagesh Garg, Director (DP) and Shri Rajesh Kr. Sinha, Under Secretary (DP) were asked by the Committee to assist in the scrutiny during the meeting.

2. Smt. Ghazala Meenai, Joint Secretary (Social Defence) and Chairman of the committee drew the attention of the members to clause 3.4.2 (v) of the Scheme of Assistance for Prevention of Alcoholism and Substance (Drugs) Abuse and for Social Defence Services which entails that the organizations/institutions to be eligible should ordinarily have existed for three years. After detail deliberations, it was decided that the following parameters may be taken into considerations for recommending an organization to be eligible to receive grant from M/o Social Justice and Empowerment:

- i. Equal geographical spread ensuring due considerations to un-served and under-served areas.
- ii. Depiction of expenditure in the annual accounts
- iii. Mention of the de-addiction in MOA.
- iv. Normally not more than two NGOs in a district and three in a big city. For metropolitan, it was decided that a judicious view may be taken in each case as per addiction rate, number of available projects etc.
- v. RRTCs to be uniformly spread out and one RRTC in one state depending upon the number of de-addiction centres in the State.
- vi. Activity of de-addiction requires focused attention to patients. It was therefore, decided that those organizations who are solely concentrating on de-addiction may be given preference to those who are undertaking multiple social activities.

3. The Committee, during examination of the proposals, observed a large number of new proposals recommended by the State Government were in existence for less than 3 years, which was not in consonance with the provision 3.4.2(v) of the Scheme. It was observed that many proposals were incomplete in many respects and therefore, did not find it possible to recommend these proposals. Many of the Projects had not reflected the activities with regard to de-addiction undertaken during previous years in their Annual Report and no substantial amount was incurred as per their Audited Accounts. Remarks against each have been mentioned against their names in the Annexure to this Minutes. The Committee, also, observed that few proposals were received in hardcopy without the recommendation of State Govt. In accordance with the norms

Ghazala Meenai

1

[Signature]

[Signature]

of the scheme the Committee decided that the proposals not recommended by the State Govt. may not be considered. **It was also decided that before release of grant in aid to these NGOs, the Ministry may satisfy itself about their proper functioning through an inspection either by a team of officers from the Ministry or by the representatives of the RRTCs.**

4. The state wise position of the new proposals placed before the Committee and its recommendations are given as under:

(i) **Assam:** All the 7 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Assam were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals, did not find any project suitable for recommendation.

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(ii) **Delhi:** Two new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Government of Delhi were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, found both the following proposals suitable for recommendation:-

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Muskan Foundation	Dwarka	IRCA
2	Turning Point Foundation	Alipur	IRCA

Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(iii) **Gujarat:-** 29 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Gujarat were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, found the following proposal suitable for recommendation:-

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Nashabandhi Mandal Gujarat	Ahmedabad	RRTC ,It was already recommended for RRTC in the earlier meeting held on 10 th & 11 th February, 2014.
2	Kripa Foundation	Vadodara	IRCA

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(iv) **Haryana:** 3 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Haryana were placed before the Screening Committee for

2





consideration. It was observed that one proposal of District Red Cross Society, Faridabad was already recommended by the Screening Committee in its meeting held on 22.12.2015.

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure**.

(v) **Himachal Pradesh:** 4 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Himachal Pradesh were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals, did not find any project suitable for recommendation.

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure**.

(vi) **Jharkhand:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Government of Jharkhand was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail, found the ^{following} proposal suitable for recommendation:-

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Srijak Samuh	Deoghar	IRCA

Detail of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure**.

(vii) **Karnataka:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Government of Karnataka was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail, found the ^{following} proposal suitable for recommendation:-

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Shri Vaishnavi Rural Development Society Sindagi	Bijapur	IRCA

Detail of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure**

(viii) **Kerala:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Government of Kerala was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals, did not find any project suitable for recommendation.

Detail of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure**

(ix) **Madhya Pradesh:** Two new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Madhya Pradesh were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail, found the ^{following} proposal suitable for recommendation:-

Gayle Neenan

3

[Signature]

[Signature]

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Sadbhav Mission Samiti	Indore	IRCA

Detail of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure**

(x) Maharashtra: All the 18 new proposals for IRCA duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Maharashtra were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, recommended the following three proposals:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Swa.Kalavatibai Deshmukh Bahuuddeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha	Hingoli	IRCA
2	Samyak Samajik Vikas Pratishthan Latur	Latur	IRCA
3.	Dr Babasaheb Ambedkar Memorial Education Society	Parbhani	IRCA

Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(xi) Manipur:- All the 6 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Manipur were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee observed that the State has already more than 20 IRCAs, therefore did not recommend any new project for the State.

Details the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at Annexure.

(xii) Mizoram: All two new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Mizoram were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals, did not find any project suitable for recommendation.

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(xiii) Odisha: One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Government of Odisha was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail, found the proposal suitable for recommendation:-

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Laxmi Narayan Seva Pratisthan	Jajpur	IRCA, It was recommended in the earlier meeting held on 10 th & 11 th February, 2014. It has been revalidated till 31.03.2017

Gezela Neener

4

[Signature]

[Signature]

Detail of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure**

(xiv) **Punjab:** All three new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Punjab were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals, did not find any project suitable for recommendation.

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(xv) **Sikkim:** Two new proposals for IRCA duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Sikkim were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, recommended both the proposals:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Sikkim Rehabilitation and Detoxification Society	East Sikkim	IRCA
2	Sanjeevani Rehabilitation Society	Gangtok	IRCA

Details the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at Annexure.

(xvi) **Telangana:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Government of Telangana was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail, found the proposal suitable for recommendation:-

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Rural Infrastructure Development Organization	Nizamabad	IRCA

Detail of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure**

(xvii) **Uttar Pradesh:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Government of Uttar Pradesh was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail, found the proposal suitable for recommendation:-

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	CCAA Trust (Vikalp)	Ghaziabad	IRCA

Detail of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure**

Gayatri Devi

5/11/2018

(xviii) **West Bengal:-** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Government of West Bengal was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals, did not find any project suitable for recommendation.

Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

5. The recommendations of the Committee in para 4 above are subject to other conditions and completion of necessary formalities as per the norms/ guidelines of the Scheme.



(Sh. S Prakash)
Member

(Sh. Vivek Kabra)
Member



(Sandeep Gupta)
Member



(Ghazala Meenai)
Chairperson

ANNEXURE

List of New Proposals under the Scheme of financial assistance for Prevention of Alcoholism and Substance (Drugs) Abuse and for Social Defence Services considered by the Screening Committee in its meeting held on 21st July, 2016

Sl. No.	Name of the Organization	Project Location (District)	Date of State Govt. Recommendation	Remarks
(i)	ASSAM			
1.	Sankar Madhab Kristi Bikash Kendra	Nagaon	3-12-2015	The project started in the year 2014. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
2.	North Institute of Education and Cultural Organisation	Nagaon	11-12-2015	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
3.	HRDS	Nagaon	6-02-2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
4.	North Eastern Centre for Education	Sonitpur	4-12-2015	One RRTC has already been recommended in 22 nd December, 2015 Screening Committee.
5.	Integrated Development Association	Kamrup	11-12-2015	The organization is engaged in multiple activities. Annual Report for the year 2012-13 is not available. No expenditure for de-

				addiction in Audit Accounts for the year 2013-14 and 2014-15.
6.	Global Health Immunization Population Control Org.	Gotlaong	08/12/2015	One RRTC has already been recommended in 22 nd December, 2015 Screening Committee.
7.	Rupahi Kohinoor Club	Milanpur	03/12/2015	The organization is engaged in multiple activities. No mention of de-addiction activities in MOA.
(ii)	DELHI			
1.	Muskan Foundation	Dwarka	31/03/2016	Recommended
2.	Turning Point Foundation	Alipur	31/03/2016	Recommended
(iii)	GUJARAT			
1.	Kasturba Sevashram	Navasari	27.01.2016	The project started in the year 2013. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
2.	Nashabandhi Mandal Gujarat	Ahmadabad	27.01.2016	Already recommended for RRTC by Screening Committee held on 10 th & 11 th February 2014.
3.	P P Yagnik Memorial Nidhi Charitable Trust	Ahmadabad	27.01.2016	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
4.	Saharsh Mahila Pragati Trust	Banas Kantha	27.01.2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines .Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA.
5.	Saharsh Mahila Pragati Trust	Banas Kantha	27.01.2016	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
6.	Adivasi Khedut Gram Vikas Mandal	Dohad	27.01.2016	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
7.	Parakh Trust	Sabar Kantha	27.01.2016	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
8.	Shri Shiv shakti Education Trust	Sabar Kantha	27.01.2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA.
9.	Shri Jotana Sarvajanic Davakhanu	Mahesana	27.01.2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines. MOA is not illegible.
10.	Shubham Foundation	Sabar Kantha	27.01.2016	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.

11.	Khiloda Punh Vasvat Pragati Yuvak Mandal	Sabar Kantha	27.01.2016	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
12.	Survoday Rural Development Trust	Panch Mahals	27.01.2016	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
13.	Panchmahal Pradesh Yuva Vikas Sanstha	Panch Mahals	27.01.2016	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
14.	Satya Sanatan Vikas Trust	Panch Mahals	27.01.2016	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
15.	Gujarat Balvikas Mandal	Panch Mahals	27.01.2016	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
16.	Brahma Samaj Seva Trust	Patan	27.01.2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA.
17.	Jay Education and Charitable Trust	Panch Mahals	27.01.2016	The project started in the year 2016. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Annual Report is not available with the proposal. MOA is in Gujarati.
18.	Lok Seva Sarvajanik Trust	Kachchh	28.01.2016	The project started in the year 2016. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Annual Report is not available with the proposal. MOA is in Gujarati.
19.	Ujas foundation	Banas Kantha	28.01.2016	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only
20.	S.P.Mahila Utpadan Vechan Sahakari Mandli Ltd.	Rajkot	28.01.2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA
21.	Gramotkarsh And Vikas Trust	Vadodara	28.01.2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA.
22.	Shri Navjivan Viklang Sevashrya	Kachchh	28.01.2016	Proposal is for de-addiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
23.	Kripa Foundation	Vadodara	28.01.2016	Recommended
24.	Manav Kalyan Mandal	Rajkot	28.01.2016	Proposal is for de-addiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.

25.	Manav Kalyan Mandal	Rajkot	28.01.2016	Proposal is for de-addiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
26.	Sarvangi Vikas Kelvani Mandal	Valsad	29.01.2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
27.	Sarvangi Vikas Kelvani Mandal	Navasari	29.01.2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
28.	Sarvangi Vikas Kelvani Mandal	Navasari	29.01.2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
29.	Shree Sarvodaya Mahila Udhayog Mandal	Jamnagar	29.01.2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines. MOA is in Gujarati.
(iv)	HARYANA			
1.	Ankush Foundation	Hisar	30/01/2016	The project started in the year 2016. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
2.	District Red Cross Society	Faridabad	28/01/2016	Already recommended in Screening Committee held on 22nd December, 2015
3.	Sangh Samaj Sewa Kalyan Samiti	Rohtak	30/01/2016	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
(v)	HIMACHAL PRADESH			
1.	Gunjan Organisation For Community Development	Hamirpur	30/01/2016	The project started in the year 2016. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
2.	Santoshi Mahila Sangathan Sabha	Chamba	28/01/2016	The project started in the year 2016. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
3.	Red Cross Society	Kangra	30/01/2016	The project started in the year 2016. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
4.	Gunjan Organisation For Community Development	Siddabari		The project started in the year 2016. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
(vi)	JHARKHAND			
1.	Srijak Samuh	Deoghar	17.05.2016	Recommended
(vii)	KARANATAKA			
1.	Shri Vaishnavi Rural Development	Bijapur	31.12.2015	Recommended

	Society Sindagi			
(viii)	KERALA			
1	Kripa Samithy	Pathanamthitta	11.12.2015	It was decided in the meeting that the State already has 24 ongoing projects, hence no new IRCA has been recommended. Also the Organization is engaged in multiple activities
(ix)	MADHYA PRADESH			
1.	Sadbhav Mission Samiti	Indore	13-04-2016	Recommended
2.	Raman Shiksha Samiti	Gwalior	13-04-2016	Annual Reports and Audited Accounts for the year 2012-13 and 2013-14 are not available with the proposal. . No expenditure for de-addiction in Audited Accounts for 14-15.
(x)	MAHARASHTRA			
1.	Bhartiya Dalit Vikas Parishad Mumbai	Aurangabad	11.03.2016	MOA and Annual Report are not clear and are vague.
2.	Lokkaul Multipurpose	Nagpur	11.03.2016	There are already five centers in the District. No expenditure for de-addiction in Audited Accounts.
3.	Priyadarshi Charitable Society	Gadchiroli	11.03.2016	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA.
4.	Rashtrasant Tukdoji Mahararaj Technical Education Society	Nagpur	16.03.2016	There are already five centers in the District. Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA, Annual Report and Audited Accounts.
5.	Rashtrasant Tukdoji Mahararaj Technical Education Society	Nagpur	16.03.2016	There are already five centers in the District. Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA, Annual Report and Audited Accounts.
6.	Kranti Jyot Bahuuddeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha	Beed	22.03.2016	There are already three projects in this District.
7.	Swa.Indirabai Sitaram Deshmukh Bahuuddeshiya Sanstha Umakhe	Yavatmal	23.03.2016	Annual Report for 2012-13 not available with the proposal.
8.	Swa.Kalavatibai Deshmukh Bahuuddeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha	Hingoli	30.03.2016	Recommended

9.	Dnyanganga Gramin Sheti va Shikshan Vikas Sanstha	Ahemadnagar	31.03.2016	The project started in the year 2016. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA, Annual Report and Audited Accounts.
10.	Suryashri De Addiction Treatment Cum Rehabilitation Centre	Nagpur	31.03.2016	There are already five centers in the District. Annual Report, Registration Certificate, List of Staff and List of beneficiaries are not available with the proposal.
11.	Dnyanganga Gramin Sheti va Shikshan Vikas Sanstha	Ahemadnagar	31.03.2016	The project started in the year 2016. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA, Annual Report and Audited Accounts.
12.	Swarna Bahudeshiya Sanstha Nagpur	Nagpur	30.04.2016	There are already five centres in the District. Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in the annual Report also there is no expenditure for de-addiction in Audited Accounts.
13.	Samyak Samajik Vikas Pratishthan Latur	Latur	11.05.2016	Recommended
14.	Chandan Yuvak Shikshan Vyayam Vikas Krida Mandal	Aurangabad	02.11.2015	The organization is engaged in various other activities. No expenditure on drug de-addiction is shown in the Audit Accounts of the organization.
15.	Udaylaxmi Charitabal Trust Parbhani	Parbhani	23.12.2015	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines
16.	Om Samajik Sanskrutik Sanstha Mahagaon	Yavatmal	11.02.2016	Audit Reports for 2012-13 and 2013-14 and Budget Estimate are not available with the proposal.
17.	Dr Babasaheb Ambedkar Memorial Education Society	Parbhani	22.03.2016	Recommended
18.	Jai Amba Mataji Shikshan Santha	Nagpur	20.04.2016	There are already five centers in the District. No expenditure for de-addiction in Audited Accounts.
(xi)	MANIPUR			
1.	Social and Economic Development Organisation	Imphal West	26.11.2015	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only
2.	Human Resources Development	Imphal east	26.11.2015	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be

	Institute			organized by existing IRCAs only.
3.	Bishnupur District Rural Social Welfare Society	Bishnupur	26.11.2015	It was decided in the meeting that the State already has more than 20 ongoing projects, hence no new IRCA has been recommended.
4.	Yaiphanba Kangleipakee Diba Lup	Bishnupur	26.11.2014	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
5.	Social Development Organisation	Imphal West	26.11.2014	Proposal is for deaddiction camps and as per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only.
6.	Nirvana Foundation	Imphal East	26.11.2015	It was decided in the meeting that the State already has 24 ongoing projects, hence no new IRCA has been recommended.
(xii)	MIZORAM			
1.	Mizo Hmeichhe Insuihkhawan Pawl Darlaun	Darlawn	4-12-2015	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA.
2.	Mizo Hmeichhe Insuihkhawan Pawl Sub	Lawnhtlai	4-12-2015	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA.
(xiii)	ORISSA			
1.	Laxmi Narayan Seva Pratisthan	Jajpur	29.03.2016	Recommended, It was recommended in the Screening Committee held on 10th & 11th, Feb.2014. It has been revalidated till 31.03.2017.
(xiv)	PUNJAB			
1.	Youth Football Club	Jalandhar	10-11-2015	The project started in the year 2015. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
2.	Dr. Bhim Rao Yuva Society	Ferozpur	29-9-2015	The project started in the year 2016. It does not have sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
3.	Guru Kashi vikas	Bathinda	29-3-2015	There are already 3 ongoing projects in the District. Also there is no mention of de-addiction activities in MOA.
(xv)	SIKKIM			
1.	Sikkim Rehabilitation and Detoxification Society	East Sikkim	22/01/2016	Recommended
2.	Sanjeevani Rehabilitation	Gangtok	20/01/2016	Recommended

	Society			
(xvi)	TELANGANA			
1.	Rural Infrastructure Development Organization	Nizamabad	06.10.2015	Recommended
(xvii)	UTTAR PRADESH			
1.	CCAA Trust (Vikalp)	Gaziabad	16/07/2016	Recommended
(xviii)	WEST BENGAL			
1.	Nikarighata Nivedita Khadi and Welfare Society	Murshidabad	31/10/2015	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA.
